

## ATMOSPHERIC CO<sub>2</sub> AND O<sub>3</sub> ALTER THE FLOW OF <sup>15</sup>N IN DEVELOPING FOREST ECOSYSTEMS

DONALD R. ZAK,<sup>1,2,4</sup> WILLIAM E. HOLMES,<sup>1</sup> AND KURT S. PREGITZER<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Natural Resources & Environment, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109 USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109 USA

<sup>3</sup>Ecosystem Science Center, School of Forest Resources & Environmental Science, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan 49931 USA

**Abstract.** Anthropogenic O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>-induced declines in soil N availability could counteract greater plant growth in a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere, thereby reducing net primary productivity (NPP) and the potential of terrestrial ecosystems to sequester anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>. Presently, it is uncertain how increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> will alter plant N demand and the acquisition of soil N by plants as well as the microbial supply of N from soil organic matter. To address this uncertainty, we initiated an ecosystem-level <sup>15</sup>N tracer experiment at the Rhinelander (Wisconsin, USA) free air CO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>3</sub> enrichment (FACE) facility to understand how projected increases in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> alter the distribution and flow of N in developing northern temperate forests. Tracer amounts of <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> were applied to the forest floor of developing *Populus tremuloides* and *P. tremuloides*-*Betula papyrifera* communities that have been exposed to factorial CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> treatments for seven years. One year after isotope addition, both forest communities exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> obtained greater amounts of <sup>15</sup>N (29%) and N (40%) from soil, despite no change in soil N availability or plant N-use efficiency. As such, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased the ability of plants to exploit soil for N, through the development of a larger root system. Conversely, elevated O<sub>3</sub> decreased the amount of <sup>15</sup>N (–15%) and N (–29%) in both communities, a response resulting from lower rates of photosynthesis, decreases in growth, and smaller root systems that acquired less soil N. Neither CO<sub>2</sub> nor O<sub>3</sub> altered the amount of N or <sup>15</sup>N recovery in the forest floor, microbial biomass, or soil organic matter. Moreover, we observed no interaction between CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> on the amount of N or <sup>15</sup>N in any ecosystem pool, suggesting that O<sub>3</sub> could exert a negative effect regardless of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. In a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere, greater belowground growth and a more thorough exploitation of soil for growth-limiting N is an important mechanism sustaining the enhancement of NPP in developing forests (0–8 years following establishment). However, as CO<sub>2</sub> accumulates in the Earth's atmosphere, future O<sub>3</sub> concentrations threaten to diminish the enhancement of plant growth, decrease plant N acquisition, and lessen the storage of anthropogenic C in temperate forests.

**Key words:** atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>; atmospheric O<sub>3</sub>; *Betula papyrifera*; forest floor; microbial immobilization; N cycling; <sup>15</sup>N; plant N uptake; *Populus tremuloides*; root system size; soil organic matter; Wisconsin, USA, developing forest.

### INTRODUCTION

Will greater rates of terrestrial net primary productivity (NPP) be sustained as CO<sub>2</sub> accumulates in Earth's atmosphere? This question lies at the heart of our ability to predict the extent to which carbon (C) storage in terrestrial ecosystems might counterbalance anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions over the next century (Houghton et al. 2001). Nevertheless, a clear answer to this simple question remains elusive, despite concerted efforts to understand the mechanisms by which atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> might alter the C balance of terrestrial ecosystems (Luo et al. 2006). Although short-term exposure (i.e., years) to

elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases the growth of many plants, much of the uncertainty surrounding this issue stems from potential interactions between atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and other environmental factors that may, over longer periods of time (i.e., decades and centuries), offset the positive effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on plant growth. Anthropogenic O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>-induced declines in soil nitrogen (N) availability (i.e., progressive N limitation sensu Luo et al. 2004) are two factors that could potentially diminish or eliminate greater plant growth in a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere, thus constraining the long-term storage of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in terrestrial ecosystems.

Over the next century, O<sub>3</sub> in Earth's lower atmosphere is expected to attain concentrations (~65 nL/L) that will elicit phytotoxic effects across the Northern Hemisphere (Fowler et al. 1998, 1999). Recent analyses indicate that O<sub>3</sub> concentrations already present in this region (~40 to 70 nL/L) can reduce plant growth by 5–18%, with the

Manuscript received 30 October 2006; revised 18 January 2007; accepted 20 February 2007. Corresponding Editor: J. B. Yavitt.

<sup>4</sup> E-mail: drzak@umich.edu

largest reduction occurring in the belowground growth of temperate and boreal trees (Grantz et al. 2006). Boreal and temperate forests in the Northern Hemisphere are a globally important C sink (Ciais et al. 1995), and by 2100, ~60% of them could be exposed to damaging O<sub>3</sub> concentrations (Fowler et al. 1999). Because atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> will increase concomitantly over this period, it is plausible that future O<sub>3</sub> concentrations could lessen or nullify the effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on plant growth, also diminishing the potential to store anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in northern temperate forests.

Although anthropogenic O<sub>3</sub> can diminish the positive effect of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on plant growth (King et al. 2005), it is not clear how these trace gases will combine to alter plant N demand and whether the supply of soil N can satisfy plant demand over the short and long term. Developing an understanding of this interaction is important, because plants in N-poor soil increase growth to a lesser extent under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> than those in N-rich soil (Zak et al. 2000, Reich et al. 2006). This observation implies that the supply of soil N will constrain plant growth enhancement, and hence ecosystem C storage, in a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere. Furthermore, if elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alters plant detritus in such a way that decomposition slows and N availability to plants declines as some have argued (Field 1999, Luo et al. 2004, Hungate et al. 2006), then such a response could dampen future plant growth as atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> accumulates. Nevertheless, empirical evidence for this argument is variable (Luo et al. 2006), and it is uncertain how exposure to both CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> will alter the supply of N from soil organic matter as well as plant N demand.

In the Rhinelander (Wisconsin, USA) free air CO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>3</sub> enrichment (FACE) experiment, greater NPP has been sustained in developing forest communities exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, but elevated O<sub>3</sub> has counteracted this response (King et al. 2005). Moreover, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> has increased gross N mineralization and microbial N immobilization to an equivalent extent, leading to more rapid rates of soil N cycling but no change in the supply of soil N to plants (Holmes et al. 2006). In contrast, elevated O<sub>3</sub> has reduced gross N mineralization, but it has not altered microbial N immobilization (Holmes et al. 2006), suggesting a decline in the supply of N to plants. Our primary objective was to quantify the extent to which changes in soil N cycling elicited by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> have altered the flow of N among plants, soil microorganisms, and soil organic matter in developing northern temperate forests. Specifically, we set out to answer the following questions. Does exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alter plant N-use efficiency, and hence N demand? Do plants acquire equivalent amounts of soil N under ambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>? Or, does greater belowground growth under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increase the acquisition of soil N by plants? Do plants exposed to elevated O<sub>3</sub> acquire lower amounts of soil N due to a

decline in belowground growth (King et al. 2005), a decreased supply of soil N (Holmes et al. 2006), or a combination of both? To accomplish our objective and answer the aforementioned questions, we initiated an ecosystem-scale <sup>15</sup>N-labeling experiment to trace the flow of mineralized NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> into plants, soil microorganisms, and soil organic matter in young forests exposed to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>.

## METHODS

### Research site

Our study was conducted at the Rhinelander FACE (free air CO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>3</sub> enrichment) facility located near Rhinelander, Wisconsin, USA (49°40.5' N, 89°37.5' E, 490 m elevation). Mean annual temperature is 4.9°C, mean annual precipitation totals 810 mm, and wet atmospheric-N deposition (from 1987 to 2005) averages 4.5 ± 0.84 kg N·ha<sup>-1</sup>·yr<sup>-1</sup> (mean ± SD). The experiment was established on a site with level topography and sandy-loam soil. Twelve 30-m-diameter FACE rings were constructed in a 32-ha field, with a minimum distance of 100 m between any two rings. Each ring was assigned to factorial CO<sub>2</sub> ( $n = 2$ ) and O<sub>3</sub> ( $n = 2$ ) treatments in a randomized complete-block ( $n = 3$ ) design (Dickson et al. 2000). Target concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> were applied during daylight hours throughout the growing season (May through October). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was maintained near 560 μL/L (200 μL/L above ambient) and elevated O<sub>3</sub> was maintained at an average 50–60 nL/L (30–40 nL/L above ambient; Karnosky et al. 2005). Each ring was divided into east and west halves. The east half of each FACE ring was planted with five genotypes of trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.) that differ in O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity and CO<sub>2</sub> responsiveness. The west half of each ring was further divided into north and south quadrants. Northwest quadrants were planted with alternating aspen (genotype 216) and sugar maple (*Acer saccharum* Marsh.), and the southwest quadrant was planted with aspen (genotype 216) and paper birch (*Betula papyrifera* Marsh.) (details available online).<sup>5</sup> Small individuals of each species (~10–15 cm tall) were planted in 1997 at a 1-m spacing, and, by 2003, canopy closure occurred in mixed aspen and aspen–birch sections. At that time, trees had attained heights of ~5 m.

At the initiation of the experiment, one ceramic-cup tension lysimeter was located at depths of 5, 30, and 125 cm in each plant community to sample soil water and quantify leaching losses. Soil-water samples were collected twice a month throughout the 2004 growing season. Prior to the first collection date and on each subsequent collection date, a tension equivalent to soil matric potential for that sampling interval (–0.05 to –0.06 MPa) was applied to each lysimeter (King et al. 2001). We used water from the lysimeters placed at 125

<sup>5</sup> ([http://aspenface.mtu.edu/ring\\_maps.htm](http://aspenface.mtu.edu/ring_maps.htm))

cm in each FACE ring ( $n=3$ , one in each ring section) to estimate leaching losses of N and  $^{15}\text{N}$ .

#### *$^{15}\text{N}$ tracer experiment*

In June 2003 each 30-m-diameter FACE ring was labeled with tracer quantities of  $^{15}\text{N}$ . Backpack sprayers were used to evenly disperse ( $0.034 \text{ L/m}^2$ ) a dilute solution of  $^{15}\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  (99.98%  $^{15}\text{N}$ ) over the forest floor. We applied  $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$  to follow the movement of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  released during microbial mineralization into plants, the soil microbial community, and soil organic matter. The isotope was applied at the rate of  $15 \text{ mg } ^{15}\text{N/m}^2$ , which represents  $\sim 3\%$  of the inorganic N pool in mineral soil (0–10 cm depth). Immediately following application to the forest floor,  $1.6 \text{ L/m}^2$  of water was applied to rinse the  $^{15}\text{N}$  into mineral soil. Soil and plant samples were collected one week prior to and one year following (June 2004) isotope addition. This enabled us to determine the natural abundance of  $^{15}\text{N}$  in plant and soil pools, and the extent to which they had been enriched by tracer  $^{15}\text{N}$ . We allowed this tracer to flow among plant and soil pools for one year, and then we quantified the amount and distribution of  $^{15}\text{N}$  and N in aspen and aspen–birch communities. Below, we describe the collection of plant, forest-floor, and mineral-soil samples as well as how we calculated the amount of tracer  $^{15}\text{N}$  each ecosystem pool.

*N and  $^{15}\text{N}$  in aboveground plant pools.*—To account for vertical variation in N and  $^{15}\text{N}$  content within the overstory canopy, we sampled current-year shoots (20–25 cm in length) at four canopy levels: 75% to maximum canopy height, 50% to 75%, 25% to 50%, and below 25%. Canopy access was gained using a scaffold (7 m in height) located in each FACE ring that extended into each community type; canopy levels were identified using a height pole marked at 0.5-m intervals. At each canopy level, we collected one shoot sample from a randomly selected individual of each aspen genotype ( $n=5$ ) in the aspen community. From randomly selected individuals in the aspen–birch community we collected two aspen shoot samples and two birch shoot samples. Shoot samples consisted of newly formed leaves, 4–6 mature leaves, and the small appending twigs; these plant tissues were frozen and transported to the laboratory for analysis. Following the collection of these samples, the vertical distribution of leaf area in aspen and aspen–birch communities was determined using a laser range finder (D. S. Ellsworth, *unpublished data*); leaf area profiles were measured in all FACE rings.

In the laboratory, leaf mass per area (LMA) was measured by removing 5–8 disks (0.5 cm in diameter) from each leaf. Leaves were separated from associated twigs; both were dried at  $65^\circ\text{C}$ , weighed, and ground to a fine powder. Leaves and twigs were analyzed for N concentration and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  using a Delta plus isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo-Finnigan, San Jose, Cal-

ifornia, USA) interfaced to a NC2500 elemental analyzer (CE Elantech, Lakewood, New Jersey, USA).

Using the diameter of each tree in aspen and aspen–birch communities, total leaf biomass was estimated using species-specific allometric equations derived from the destructive harvest of whole trees in 2004 (King et al. 2005). We then used the vertical distribution of leaf area and LMA determined for each canopy level to partition total leaf biomass, generated via allometric equations, among the four canopy levels. Total twig biomass was estimated by multiplying the twig-to-leaf ratio in each canopy level by leaf biomass. In each canopy level, leaf and shoot N content ( $\text{g N/m}^2$ ) were calculated as the product of tissue N concentration (in milligrams of N per gram,  $\text{mg N/g}$ ) and biomass (in grams per square meter,  $\text{g/m}^2$ ). Additionally, we estimated  $^{15}\text{N}$  in leaves and twigs in each canopy level as the product of atom percent (hereafter, atom %) excess  $^{15}\text{N}$ , tissue N concentration, and biomass (in grams per square meter,  $\text{g/m}^2$ ). For each tissue, atom % excess  $^{15}\text{N}$  was calculated as the difference between the atom %  $^{15}\text{N}$  one year after isotope addition and the atom %  $^{15}\text{N}$  prior to isotope addition. Values were summed across canopy height levels to generate total canopy N and  $^{15}\text{N}$  content.

The N concentration and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of new stem tissue were determined by collecting a 15-mm diameter core, which extended from the stem surface into the newly formed bark and wood. This portion of the stem was easily penetrated by the sharpened coring device, unlike the harder wood produced from the previous year's growth (D. R. Zak, *personnel observation*). One stem core was removed from two individuals of each aspen genotype in the aspen community. In the aspen–birch community, stem samples were collected from two aspen and two birch, the same individuals used for canopy sampling. The N concentration and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of each stem sample was determined as described above. We estimated stem increment as the difference in stem biomass between 2004 and 2003, a value determined using the diameter of each tree in the aspen and aspen–birch communities and allometric equations for stem biomass (King et al. 2005).

Understory vegetation also was sampled prior to, and one year following, isotope addition (Bandeuff et al. 2006). This enabled us to estimate the amount of N and  $^{15}\text{N}$  contained in this portion of the aspen and aspen–birch communities. The aboveground biomass of understory vegetation was harvested from four  $0.5\text{-m}^2$  areas randomly located in each community. Harvested plants were sorted by species and analyzed for N and  $^{15}\text{N}$  as described by Bandeuff et al. (2006).

Recovery of  $^{15}\text{N}$  (%) in overstory leaves, twigs, stem increment, and roots was the amount of  $^{15}\text{N}$  in each pool ( $\text{mg } ^{15}\text{N/m}^2$ ), relative to the total amount we applied ( $15 \text{ mg } ^{15}\text{N/m}^2$ ); recovery in understory vegetation was calculated in the same manner.

*N and  $^{15}\text{N}$  in root and soil pools.*—At the time of canopy sampling, we collected forest floor and mineral-

soil samples to determine the distribution of <sup>15</sup>N in these pools. We randomly located two points in each ring section to sample forest floor. A 26 × 26 cm sampling frame was placed on the forest floor, and Oi and Oe material was removed, placed on ice while in the field, and then frozen. This material was thawed at a later date, dried at 60°C, and analyzed for N and <sup>15</sup>N.

In each plant community we also collected eight soil cores (5 cm in diameter and 20 cm deep) at previously established random locations. Soil samples consisted of Ap- and B-horizon material; they were composited within each community and immediately placed on ice. Composite soil samples were immediately processed to separate roots from mineral soil. Roots were initially removed by hand, placed in a polyethylene bag, and frozen. A subsample of the remaining soil was elutriated to remove the remaining fine roots (*sensu* Pregitzer et al. 2000). Roots initially removed by hand and those elutriated from the subsample were sorted into size classes for further analysis (<0.5 mm, 0.5–1.0 mm, >1.0–2.0 mm, and >2.0 mm). Roots in each size class were dried, ground, and separately analyzed for N and <sup>15</sup>N using a Delta plus isotope-ratio mass spectrometer interfaced to a NC2500 elemental analyzer (Thermo Finnegan, San Jose, California, USA). We report root N and <sup>15</sup>N as the summation of values across all size classes.

The remaining root-free soil was used to determine the distribution of N and <sup>15</sup>N among mineral-soil pools. To accomplish that task, we used a sequential extraction method to separate inorganic N, dissolved organic N, microbial N and soil organic N (*sensu* Holmes et al. 2003). Extractable NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON; depth, 0–20 cm) were initially separated from microbial N and soil organic N. A 12-g (fresh mass) soil subsample from the composite collected in each community was placed in a 30-mL glass vial to which we added 20 mL of 2 mol/L KCl. The vials were capped, placed on a shaker for 20 minutes and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 800 rpm (136g). Particulate organic matter and suspended cells were removed from the KCl solution by passing them through the 0.45-μm filter; the resulting filtrate contained inorganic N and DON. The extraction was repeated with a second 20-mL aliquot of 2 mol/L KCl. The filter from each syringe was placed back into the vial containing the corresponding soil. Filtrates were stored at 4°C prior to analysis.

Ammonium-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N were sequentially diffused from the KCl extracts onto acid traps in preparation for <sup>15</sup>N analysis (Brooks et al. 1989). Following diffusion of inorganic N, we used alkaline persulfate digestion to convert DON into NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, which was subsequently diffused onto an acid trap as described above. The acid traps containing NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and DON were analyzed for atom% <sup>15</sup>N on a Finnigan Delta Plus isotope-ratio mass spectrometer with a ConFlo II interface (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, California, USA). Ammonium-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations were

determined prior to diffusion using an OI Analytical Flow Solution 3000 continuous-flow analyzer (OI Analytical, College Station, Texas, USA). Following alkaline persulfate digestion, DON (measured as NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) was measured using automated colorimetry as described above.

A second extraction step was performed to separate microbial N and soil organic N. Previously extracted soils and filters (containing microbial cells) were fumigated with CH<sub>3</sub>Cl for 5 d in a vacuum desiccator. Residual CH<sub>3</sub>Cl was removed by repeated vacuuming, and 20 mL of 0.25 mol/L K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was then added to each vial. Vials were capped, placed on a shaker 30 minutes, and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 800 rpm (136g). The supernatant was decanted into a 120-mL specimen cup. This extraction was repeated with an additional 20-mL aliquot of K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and the extracts were frozen until they were digested to determine microbial N. The soil samples remaining in the vials were dried to a constant mass at 60°C in a forced-air oven. The dried soils were transferred to grinding jars, pulverized with stainless steel pins (0.5 cm diameter × 13.8 cm length) in a roller mill (Model 755RMV [U.S. Stoneware, East Palestine, Ohio, USA]), and stored for analysis of organic N.

Microbial N within the K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> extracts was determined by alkaline persulfate digestion (Cabrera and Beare 1993). Blanks and glycine standards were digested simultaneously with samples. A 3-mL aliquot of each digest was used to determine NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration, as described above. Nitrate-N in the remaining digest was captured on acid traps during a 5-d diffusion with MgO and Devarda's alloy (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, New Jersey, USA). The acid traps were analyzed for atom % <sup>15</sup>N by isotope-ratio mass spectrometry. Soil organic-N concentration was measured using a CE Instruments NC2500 elemental analyzer (CE Elantech, Lakewood, New Jersey, USA), and atom % <sup>15</sup>N was then determined by isotope-ratio mass spectrometry. Nitrogen concentration, atom % <sup>15</sup>N, and bulk density were used to calculate recovery of <sup>15</sup>N (in percentage, %) and estimate the N pool (in grams of N per square meter, g N/m<sup>2</sup>) in extractable NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, extractable NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, extractable DON, microbial N, and soil organic N.

Recovery of <sup>15</sup>N (%) in forest-floor and soil pools was calculated as the amount of <sup>15</sup>N in each pool (mg <sup>15</sup>N/m<sup>2</sup>), relative to the total amount we applied to (15 mg <sup>15</sup>N/m<sup>2</sup>) to forest floor and mineral soil.

*Leaching of N and <sup>15</sup>N.*—We quantified the N concentration and δ<sup>15</sup>N of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and DON in soil water collected at a depth of 125 cm in each FACE ring. Soil-water samples composited across plant communities and were processed using the diffusion procedure described above to separate NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and DON.

#### *Statistical analyses*

We used an ANOVA for a split-plot, randomized, complete-block design to determine whether CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> or

TABLE 1. The main effects of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> on the biomass, nitrogen concentration, and nitrogen content for overstory and understory plants.

Ecosystem component	Atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub>					
	Biomass (g/m <sup>2</sup> )		N concentration (mg N/g)		N content (g N/m <sup>2</sup> )	
	-CO <sub>2</sub>	+CO <sub>2</sub>	-CO <sub>2</sub>	+CO <sub>2</sub>	-CO <sub>2</sub>	+CO <sub>2</sub>
Overstory	1099 <sup>a</sup> (240.5)	1644 <sup>b</sup> (315.7)			12.4 <sup>a</sup> (2.93)	18.0 <sup>b</sup> (3.93)
Leaves	421.9 <sup>a</sup> (78.9)	592.2 <sup>b</sup> (123.2)	21.6 <sup>a</sup> (1.91)	22.0 <sup>a</sup> (1.63)	9.1 <sup>a</sup> (2.29)	13.1 <sup>b</sup> (3.03)
New twigs	194.6 <sup>a</sup> (41.9)	289.1 <sup>b</sup> (41.3)	8.4 <sup>a</sup> (0.95)	8.5 <sup>a</sup> (0.52)	1.6 <sup>a</sup> (0.51)	2.2 <sup>b</sup> (0.42)
Stem increment	300.0 <sup>a</sup> (111.1)	452.0 <sup>b</sup> (156.3)	4.8 <sup>a</sup> (0.54)	4.5 <sup>a</sup> (0.50)	1.4 <sup>a</sup> (0.44)	2.0 <sup>b</sup> (0.66)
Roots	183.2 <sup>a</sup> (50.7)	291.1 <sup>b</sup> (55.6)	7.4 <sup>a</sup> (0.80)	6.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.99)	0.36 <sup>a</sup> (0.09)	0.54 <sup>b</sup> (0.24)
Understory	59.1 <sup>a</sup> (17.1)	53.0 <sup>a</sup> (31.5)	20.3 <sup>a</sup> (1.48)	21.4 <sup>a</sup> (2.00)	1.2 <sup>a</sup> (0.35)	1.0 <sup>a</sup> (0.75)
Total plant	1158 <sup>a</sup> (231.6)	1697 <sup>b</sup> (304.3)			13.6 <sup>a</sup> (2.75)	19.0 <sup>b</sup> (3.53)

Notes: There was no two-way interaction of community type with either CO<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>3</sub>, nor was there a significant three-way interaction among these factors. Values are main-effect means with standard deviations in parentheses; within rows, main-effect means with the same superscript lowercase letter are not significantly different at α = 0.05. Blank cells indicate results were not possible.

their interaction altered the biomass, N concentration, N content and recovery of <sup>15</sup>N in plant or soil pools in the aspen and aspen–birch communities. We also inspected data for departures from normality and homogeneity of variance, and, when needed, we transformed data to meet these assumptions. Treatment and interaction means were compared using a Fisher’s protected LSD procedure, and significance for all analyses was accepted at α = 0.05.

RESULTS

Ecosystem N pools

Community type did not interact with atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>3</sub> to influence the biomass, N concentration, or N content of any ecosystem pool, indicating that aspen and aspen–birch communities responded in the same manner to these gases. There also was no significant interaction between atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> on the biomass, N concentration, or N content of plant and soil pools.

However, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> exerted significant main effects on plant biomass and N content (Table 1), but not on tissue N concentration. For example, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased overstory biomass by 46%; increases of similar magnitude also occurred in leaves, twigs, stem increment, and roots (Table 1). Although

elevated CO<sub>2</sub> did not increase the biomass of understory plants, it did significantly increase total plant biomass (overstory + understory) by 46% (Table 1). We observed no effect of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (main effect) on forest-floor biomass or soil organic matter (Table 2). In contrast, elevated O<sub>3</sub> caused significant declines in the biomass of leaves (–25%), twigs (–17%), stem increment (–27%), and roots (–18%), which resulted in a significant overall decrease in overstory biomass (–23%; Table 1). Elevated O<sub>3</sub> did not influence the biomass of understory plants, nor did it alter forest floor or soil organic matter (Tables 1 and 2).

Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> did not alter the N concentration (in milligrams of N per gram, mg N/g) of any ecosystem pool (Tables 1 and 2), whereas elevated O<sub>3</sub> only reduced the N concentration of understory plants and soil extractable NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>–</sup> pools (Tables 1 and 2). Although these atmospheric gases exerted little influence on N concentration, they did exert significant main effects on the N content (g N/m<sup>2</sup>) of overstory trees, albeit in opposite directions. For example, the N content of overstory trees increased 45% under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, whereas elevated O<sub>3</sub> resulted in a 29% decline (Table 1). These are directional responses that can be observed in all components of overstory biomass. Because neither CO<sub>2</sub> nor O<sub>3</sub> altered the N concentration of leaves, twigs,

TABLE 2. Main effects of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> on the biomass, nitrogen concentration, and nitrogen content of soil pools.

Ecosystem component	Biomass (g/m <sup>2</sup> )		N concentration (µg N/g)		N content (g N/m <sup>2</sup> )	
	-CO <sub>2</sub>	+CO <sub>2</sub>	-CO <sub>2</sub>	+CO <sub>2</sub>	-CO <sub>2</sub>	+CO <sub>2</sub>
Forest floor	659.8 <sup>a</sup> (163.3)	749.6 <sup>a</sup> (163.1)	12 691 <sup>a</sup> (1735)	11 956 <sup>a</sup> (2168)	8.41 <sup>a</sup> (1.79)	9.05 <sup>a</sup> (2.27)
Soil organic matter	5713 <sup>a</sup> (646.9)	5754 <sup>a</sup> (636.9)	909.2 <sup>a</sup> (75.41)	935.8 <sup>a</sup> (123.81)	181.8 <sup>a</sup> (15.1)	187.2 <sup>a</sup> (24.7)
Microbial biomass			7.1 <sup>a</sup> (1.36)	7.6 <sup>a</sup> (0.79)	1.41 <sup>a</sup> (0.27)	1.52 <sup>a</sup> (0.16)
Extractable NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>			1.9 <sup>a</sup> (0.62)	1.8 <sup>a</sup> (0.67)	0.39 <sup>a</sup> (0.12)	0.36 <sup>a</sup> (0.13)
Extractable NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>–</sup>			0.6 <sup>a</sup> (0.23)	0.4 <sup>a</sup> (0.27)	0.11 <sup>a</sup> (0.05)	0.09 <sup>a</sup> (0.05)
Extractable DON			1.5 <sup>a</sup> (0.43)	1.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.47)	0.30 <sup>a</sup> (0.09)	0.34 <sup>a</sup> (0.09)

Notes: There was no two-way interaction of community type with either CO<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>3</sub>, nor was there a significant three-way interaction among these factors. Values are main-effect means with standard deviations in parentheses; within rows, main-effect means with the same superscript lowercase letter are not significantly different at α = 0.05. Blank cells indicate that results were not possible.

TABLE 1. Extended.

Biomass (g/m <sup>2</sup> )		Atmospheric O <sub>3</sub>			
		N concentration (mg N/g)		N content (g N/m <sup>2</sup> )	
-O <sub>3</sub>	+O <sub>3</sub>	-O <sub>3</sub>	+O <sub>3</sub>	-O <sub>3</sub>	+O <sub>3</sub>
1554 <sup>a</sup> (365.5)	1190 <sup>b</sup> (337.0)			17.7 <sup>a</sup> (4.04)	12.6 <sup>b</sup> (3.18)
592.2 <sup>a</sup> (143.4)	441.9 <sup>b</sup> (90.9)	22.8 <sup>a</sup> (1.33)	20.8 <sup>a</sup> (1.54)	13.1 <sup>a</sup> (3.11)	9.1 <sup>b</sup> (2.19)
265.6 <sup>a</sup> (55.3)	218.1 <sup>b</sup> (63.6)	8.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.71)	8.2 <sup>a</sup> (0.73)	2.1 <sup>a</sup> (0.56)	1.7 <sup>b</sup> (0.50)
435.2 <sup>a</sup> (127.7)	316.8 <sup>b</sup> (159.4)	4.6 <sup>a</sup> (0.49)	4.9 <sup>a</sup> (0.53)	1.9 <sup>a</sup> (0.51)	1.5 <sup>b</sup> (0.70)
260.9 <sup>a</sup> (70.2)	213.4 <sup>b</sup> (46.6)	7.4 <sup>a</sup> (1.03)	6.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.72)	0.55 <sup>a</sup> (0.24)	0.35 <sup>b</sup> (0.08)
43.2 <sup>a</sup> (10.8)	69.0 <sup>a</sup> (28.7)	21.7 <sup>a</sup> (1.77)	20.1 <sup>b</sup> (1.50)	0.8 <sup>a</sup> (0.31)	1.4 <sup>a</sup> (0.64)
1597 <sup>a</sup> (364.9)	1259 <sup>b</sup> (330.3)			18.6 <sup>a</sup> (3.88)	14.0 <sup>b</sup> (3.11)

wood, or roots, changes in overstory N content can be attributed to increases and decreases in overstory growth under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Despite their relatively large effect on overstory N content, CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> (as main effects) did not alter the N content of understory plants, forest floor or mineral soil pools (Tables 1 and 2).

*Recovery of <sup>15</sup>N in ecosystem pools*

Mean total recovery of <sup>15</sup>N ranged from 78% to 79% and did not differ significantly from 100% across treatments (Table 3), indicating that we could account for the majority of isotope added to the aspen and aspen-birch communities. One year after application, the majority of added <sup>15</sup>N resided in forest floor (~40%) and soil organic matter (~25%), with much smaller amounts in plant (~12%) and microbial (<1%) biomass (Table 3). The recovery of <sup>15</sup>N in ecosystem pools was not influenced by any two-way or three-way interaction among community type, CO<sub>2</sub>, or O<sub>3</sub>.

However, as a main effect, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> significantly increased <sup>15</sup>N recovery in the overstory trees, but it did not alter <sup>15</sup>N recovery in any other ecosystem pool. Under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, canopy leaves contained 28% more <sup>15</sup>N than did leaves grown at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (Table 3). Although twigs, stem increment, and fine roots contained more <sup>15</sup>N under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, those increases were not significant (Table 3). However, in combination, these trends amounted to a 35% increase in the amount

of <sup>15</sup>N recovered in overstory trees growing under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, a response that was statistically significant (Table 3). The recovery of <sup>15</sup>N in understory vegetation was relatively small (2–3%) and was not influenced by atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased <sup>15</sup>N recovery by 29% in total plant biomass (overstory plus understory), but that increase was not significant. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> had no effect on <sup>15</sup>N recovery in forest floor or in any soil pool (Table 3).

As a main effect, atmospheric O<sub>3</sub> did not influence <sup>15</sup>N recovery in any plant or soil pool (Table 3), with the exception of the small, but significantly greater, recovery of <sup>15</sup>N in extractable NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> pools (Table 3).

Concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (0.04 ± 0.01 ug N/mL; mean ± SD), NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (0.1 ± 0.08 ug N/mL) and DON (0.3 ± 0.22 ug N/mL) in soil water collected from lysimeters were routinely low in all treatment combinations, suggesting that leaching loss of N was minimal. For example, if we assume that all annual precipitation (74 cm in 2004) moved below the rooting zone, then leaching of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (0.06% of applied <sup>15</sup>N) and DON (0.08% of applied <sup>15</sup>N) can only account for a small proportion of the isotope we applied.

DISCUSSION

Greater plant growth in a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere could increase the storage of anthropogenic C in terrestrial ecosystems, but projected O<sub>3</sub> concentrations and CO<sub>2</sub>-induced declines in soil N availability could

TABLE 2. Extended.

Biomass (g/m <sup>2</sup> )		N concentration (µg N/g)		N content (g N/m <sup>2</sup> )	
-O <sub>3</sub>	+O <sub>3</sub>	-O <sub>3</sub>	+O <sub>3</sub>	-O <sub>3</sub>	+O <sub>3</sub>
758.3 <sup>a</sup> (155.5)	651.1 <sup>a</sup> (164.9)	12 534 <sup>a</sup> (1922)	12 113 <sup>a</sup> (2054)	9.52 <sup>a</sup> (1.83)	7.94 <sup>b</sup> (1.96)
5847 <sup>a</sup> (677.6)	5621 <sup>a</sup> (581.5)	958 <sup>a</sup> (102.8)	887 <sup>a</sup> (89.6)	191.6 <sup>a</sup> (20.6)	177.4 <sup>a</sup> (17.9)
		7.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.77)	7.0 <sup>a</sup> (1.32)	1.54 <sup>a</sup> (0.15)	1.40 <sup>a</sup> (0.26)
		2.4 <sup>a</sup> (0.27)	1.4 <sup>b</sup> (0.49)	0.47 <sup>a</sup> (0.05)	0.28 <sup>b</sup> (0.11)
		0.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.12)	0.3 <sup>b</sup> (0.18)	0.14 <sup>a</sup> (0.02)	0.06 <sup>b</sup> (0.04)
		1.6 <sup>a</sup> (0.36)	1.5 <sup>a</sup> (0.54)	0.33 <sup>a</sup> (0.07)	0.31 <sup>a</sup> (0.11)

TABLE 3. The main effect of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> on the recovery of <sup>15</sup>N in ecosystem pools one year following isotope addition.

Ecosystem component	<sup>15</sup> N recovery (%)		<sup>15</sup> N recovery (%)	
	-CO <sub>2</sub>	+CO <sub>2</sub>	-O <sub>3</sub>	+O <sub>3</sub>
Overstory	7.4 <sup>a</sup> (1.34)	10.0 <sup>b</sup> (2.08)	9.4 <sup>a</sup> (2.35)	8.0 <sup>a</sup> (1.80)
Leaves	5.0 <sup>a</sup> (0.94)	6.4 <sup>b</sup> (1.38)	6.1 <sup>a</sup> (1.2)	5.2 <sup>a</sup> (1.31)
New twigs	0.9 <sup>a</sup> (0.38)	1.26 <sup>a</sup> (0.38)	1.1 <sup>a</sup> (0.37)	1.1 <sup>a</sup> (0.45)
Stem increment	0.5 <sup>a</sup> (0.21)	0.6 <sup>a</sup> (0.30)	0.5 <sup>a</sup> (0.19)	0.5 <sup>a</sup> (0.32)
Roots	1.0 <sup>a</sup> (0.48)	1.8 <sup>a</sup> (1.46)	1.6 <sup>a</sup> (1.47)	1.2 <sup>a</sup> (0.68)
Understory	2.8 <sup>a</sup> (0.83)	3.2 <sup>a</sup> (2.08)	2.8 <sup>a</sup> (1.49)	3.2 <sup>a</sup> (1.68)
Total plant	10.2 <sup>a</sup> (1.66)	13.2 <sup>a</sup> (2.88)	12.2 <sup>a</sup> (2.87)	11.3 <sup>a</sup> (2.67)
Forest floor	40.1 <sup>a</sup> (12.36)	37.6 <sup>a</sup> (10.09)	42.0 <sup>a</sup> (11.10)	35.7 <sup>a</sup> (10.63)
Soil organic matter	20.1 <sup>a</sup> (8.34)	26.1 <sup>a</sup> (7.68)	22.4 <sup>a</sup> (7.96)	24.0 <sup>a</sup> (9.06)
Microbial biomass	0.8 <sup>a</sup> (0.58)	0.9 <sup>a</sup> (0.24)	0.9 <sup>a</sup> (0.54)	0.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.31)
Extractable NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	0.2 <sup>a</sup> (0.14)	0.2 <sup>a</sup> (0.11)	0.2 <sup>a</sup> (0.14)	0.1 <sup>a</sup> (0.10)
Extractable NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup> (0.01)	0.0 <sup>a</sup> (0.03)	0.0 <sup>a</sup> (0.02)	0.0 <sup>b</sup> (0.00)
Extractable DON	0.5 <sup>a</sup> (1.10)	0.1 <sup>a</sup> (0.10)	0.2 <sup>a</sup> (0.18)	0.4 <sup>a</sup> (1.11)
Total recovery	78.2 <sup>a</sup> (14.39)	78.7 <sup>a</sup> (11.48)	78.7 <sup>a</sup> (14.08)	79.2 <sup>a</sup> (11.90)

Notes: Data are means (with SD in parentheses). The recovery of isotope in each pool was calculated as the amount of <sup>15</sup>N in that pool (mg <sup>15</sup>N/m<sup>2</sup>), relative to the total amount applied to forest floor and soil (15 mg <sup>15</sup>N/m<sup>2</sup>). There was no significant interaction between community type and either atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>3</sub>, nor was there a significant three-way interaction among these factors. Values are main-effect means with standard deviations in parentheses; within rows; main-effect means with the same superscript lowercase letter are not significantly different at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

counteract this effect (Fowler et al. 1999, Luo et al. 2004). After eight years of CO<sub>2</sub> exposure, developing aspen and aspen–birch forests obtained greater amounts of soil N as well as <sup>15</sup>N, despite no apparent increase in soil N availability (Holmes et al. 2006). Therefore, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased the ability of plants to exploit soil for this growth-limiting nutrient, which appears to result from a larger root system. This response has undoubtedly sustained higher rates of net primary productivity (NPP) in aspen and aspen–birch communities exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, relative to those growing at the ambient atmospheric concentration (King et al. 2005). In contrast, elevated O<sub>3</sub> had an opposite effect, wherein plants exposed to this trace gas experience a decline in growth and acquired less soil N and <sup>15</sup>N. Because CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> did not interact to influence plant growth (King et al. 2005) or the amount of N and <sup>15</sup>N in plant and soil pools, our results imply that elevated O<sub>3</sub> could reduce the ability of plants to grow and acquire N from soil as CO<sub>2</sub> increases in the atmosphere. The fact that plants exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> obtained greater amounts of soil N indicates that progressive N limitation (sensu Luo et al. 2004) has not occurred in these developing forest communities—at least not yet.

Greater rates of plant growth under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> could be sustained by an increase in N-use efficiency (Gill et al. 2002, Hungate et al. 2006); however, several pieces of evidence indicate that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> did not increase the efficiency of N use (biomass produced per unit of N assimilated) in aspen and aspen–birch communities. First, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> has not altered biomass allocation in these communities or fine-root turnover (Pregitzer et al. 2000, King et al. 2005), indicating above- and belowground litter production under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases proportionally with plant biomass. If elevated CO<sub>2</sub> had increased plant N-use

efficiency, then such a response would be accompanied by a measurable decrease in the N concentration of some plant tissue. In contrast to this prediction, the N concentration of overstory leaves, twigs, stem increment and roots was unaltered by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>; the same was true for the aboveground portion of understory plants. In our experiment, plants exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> grew to a greater extent via enhanced rates of photosynthesis (Karnosky et al. 2003, King et al. 2005) and a greater exploration of soil for limiting resources (i.e., N as well as <sup>15</sup>N), rather than an increase in plant N-use efficiency.

Our observations are consistent with those in young sweet gum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*) and loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) forests exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Finzi et al. 2006, Norby and Iversen 2006); in both cases, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased the N content (as g N/m<sup>2</sup>) of overstory trees via greater belowground growth, with no or minimal change in plant N-use efficiency (Finzi et al. 2006, Norby and Iversen 2006). Moreover, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> has not altered soil N availability in our experiment as well as beneath sweet gum or loblolly pine in the experiments mentioned above (Zak et al. 2003, Finzi et al. 2006). If soil N availability has not increased under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, then how did plants growing under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> obtain more N from soil to sustain NPP?

In all three rapidly developing forests, greater rates of NPP under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> appear to have been sustained, in part, by a more thorough exploitation of soil by a larger root system (Matamala and Schlesinger 2000, Norby et al. 2004, King et al. 2005). In our experiment, it is unlikely that the increment of N plants acquired under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> resulted from greater specific root uptake (i.e., ug N·g<sub>root</sub><sup>-1</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>), because elevated CO<sub>2</sub> does not alter the uptake kinetics in the fine roots of either aspen or birch (Rothstein et al. 2000; A. Friend, unpublished data). Rather, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> may have

enabled a larger root system to more effectively encounter and penetrate N-rich microsites, thereby facilitating foraging for this limiting resource (Johnson et al. 2006). Additional support for this idea comes from the positive relationship between annual N uptake and cumulative fine-root length in sweet gum, further suggesting that plants obtained greater amounts of soil N under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> by occupying soil to a greater extent (Norby et al. 2004). Despite these responses, greater soil occupancy will diminish through time as forests mature and fine roots exploit soil to a full extent. This point will be a critical juncture determining whether greater rates of NPP will be sustained in a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere. If elevated CO<sub>2</sub> does not increase plant N-use efficiency or soil N supply when roots have fully occupied soil, then plant growth enhancement may be constrained by soil N availability at this point in development.

However, one does not need to invoke mechanisms creating a greater N supply under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (e.g., greater N<sub>2</sub> fixation or organic-N uptake) to account for the additional N obtained by plants in our experiment. The additional N obtained by plants growing under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was much less than the variability that exists in the supply of soil N to plants (i.e., net N mineralization). For example, plants growing under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> acquired  $\sim 0.7 \text{ g N}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$  more than those growing under ambient CO<sub>2</sub>. Net N mineralization in Lake States forests ranges from 5 to 12  $\text{g N}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$  and coefficients of variation are 20 to 45% ( $n = 59$  stands; Zak and Pregitzer 1990, Reich et al. 1997). Inasmuch, the additional N plants acquired under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> lies well within the bounds of variability for even the most N-poor forests in this region (e.g., 1 SD ranges from 1.0 to 2.2  $\text{g N}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$ ). Although annual net N mineralization has not been measured in our experiment, evidence suggests this soil is not N poor. Rates of gross N mineralization and immobilization in Rhinelander (Wisconsin, USA) FACE soil are equivalent to those in Lakes States forests with relatively high net N mineralization (8–10  $\text{g N}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$ ; Holmes and Zak 1999, Holmes et al. 2006). These higher rates and their associated variability further suggest that spatial variation in N supply can well account for the additional N obtained by a plant under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Our argument implies that N loss via leaching should be greater under ambient CO<sub>2</sub>; however, variation in plant water use between communities and treatments as well as variation in soil properties (e.g., thickness of a clay-rich subsurface horizon) make it difficult to resolve whether N leaching differs between ambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.

Although substantial amounts ( $\sim 60\%$ ) of <sup>15</sup>N were recovered in forest floor and soil organic matter after one year, we have no evidence that greater litter production under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> has accelerated the net microbial immobilization of N into soil organic matter. Such a response would presage a decline in soil N

availability, and this clearly has not occurred in our experiment. For example, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> did not alter the recovery of <sup>15</sup>N in forest floor or soil organic matter, nor did it alter the N content of any soil pool. If elevated CO<sub>2</sub> had increased the net microbial immobilization into forest floor or soil organic matter, then one would expect to find greater amounts of <sup>15</sup>N in these pools, which is inconsistent with our observations. Rather, the responses we observed likely arose due to an equivalent increase in gross N mineralization and microbial immobilization elicited by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Holmes et al. 2006). Our data clearly demonstrate that greater plant growth under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and the subsequent production of litter have not fostered a decline in soil N availability over the first eight years of our experiment.

Our observations contrast with a greater net immobilization of <sup>15</sup>N into the forest floor of scrub oak woodland exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Hungate et al. 2006), a community which sprouted from an established root system following fire. In that ecosystem, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> also initially increased the acquisition of soil N by plants, but this response diminished after seven years of exposure. In combination with a greater incorporation of N into forest floor, this decline in plant N acquisition was reported to indicate the onset of progressive N limitation (Hungate et al. 2006). These responses differ from those in our experiment and may be due to differences in forest development, the extent to which a newly developing or preexisting root system has exploited soil, or native soil N availability. Regardless of this disparity, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> has not elicited greater rates of microbial N immobilization, a decline in soil N availability, or the onset of progressive N limitation in our experiment (Holmes et al. 2006); this also appears to be the case in other young, developing forests dominated by sweet gum and loblolly pine (Zak et al. 2003, Finzi et al. 2006, Norby and Iversen 2006).

In contrast, elevated O<sub>3</sub> damaged leaves, which led to declines in photosynthesis, above- and belowground growth, plant N demand, and the acquisition of soil N. Ozone also had no effect on plant N-use efficiency, evidenced by equivalent tissue-N concentrations in plants exposed to ambient and elevated concentrations. It is possible that aspen and aspen–birch communities exposed to elevated O<sub>3</sub> obtained lower amounts of soil N due to an overall decline in growth. We are unable to discern if concomitant declines in soil N availability (Holmes et al. 2006) partially contributed to this response, but it appears unlikely given the overall decline in growth, plant N demand, and acquisition of soil N elicited by elevated O<sub>3</sub>. Taken together, these observations indicate that elevated O<sub>3</sub> has reduced the rate at which N cycles among plant and soil pools, an effect directly opposed to that of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>.

Notwithstanding a reduction in forest-floor mass and N content, diminished plant growth in an O<sub>3</sub>-enriched atmosphere was insufficient to alter the N content or flow of <sup>15</sup>N among soil pools. This result was somewhat



surprising, because microbial communities beneath plants exposed to elevated concentrations of both O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> can metabolize greater amounts of recent photosynthate than those exposed elevated to CO<sub>2</sub> alone (Loya et al. 2003). These presumably labile substrates should increase rates of microbial immobilization, and subsequently the incorporation of N into forest floor and soil organic matter. However, such a response is inconsistent with the equivalent amounts of <sup>15</sup>N recovered in forest floor under ambient and elevated O<sub>3</sub>; soil organic matter exhibited the identical response. The fact that elevated O<sub>3</sub> did not influence <sup>15</sup>N recovery in either of these pools implies that it also did not influence rates of microbial N immobilization, at least over the eight-year duration of our experiment. This reasoning is further supported by a recent analysis, in which elevated O<sub>3</sub> had no effect on microbial N immobilization (Holmes et al. 2006). It also appears that lower rates of gross N mineralization (−16%; Holmes et al. 2006) under elevated O<sub>3</sub> were insufficient to alter <sup>15</sup>N recovery in soil organic matter. Overall, the most apparent influence elevated O<sub>3</sub> had on soil pools was to decrease leaf-litter production, thereby lowering the mass and N content of forest floor.

In these developing aspen and aspen–birch, higher rates of NPP have been sustained by a more thorough exploitation of soil for N, evidenced by the greater amount of N and <sup>15</sup>N obtained by plants growing in a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere. This response occurred despite no apparent change in soil N availability or plant N-use efficiency. We conclude that progressive N limitation is presently not a factor governing plant growth response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in these young, developing forest communities. On the other hand, elevated O<sub>3</sub> exerted a substantial negative influence on plant growth, plant N demand, and the amount of N plants obtained from soil. The absence of a significant interaction between atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> on plant growth or N content suggests that O<sub>3</sub> could elicit a negative effect regardless of the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. However, such a response might be moderated by plant species or genotypes that are insensitive to the effects of O<sub>3</sub> (Coleman et al. 1995*a, b*). Because damaging O<sub>3</sub> concentrations are expected to occur across broad regions in the Northern Hemisphere (Fowler et al. 1998, 1999), our observations indicate that future O<sub>3</sub> concentrations have the potential to diminish the enhancement of plant growth, decrease plant N acquisition, and lessen the storage of anthropogenic C in temperate forests as CO<sub>2</sub> accumulates in Earth's atmosphere.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our work was supported by grants (DE-FG02-93ER61666 and DE-FG02-95ER62125) from the Office of Science (BER), U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Forest Service Global Change Research program. We sincerely thank Becky Mau, Jana Gastellum, John Hassett, Wendy Loya, Michelle Martin, and Angela Pickett for their work in the field and laboratory;

their contributions made this large-scale isotopic labeling experiment possible. John King, Mark Kubiske, and Dave Ellsworth generously provided us with plant biomass and leaf area distribution data, which were integral to assembling the N and <sup>15</sup>N budgets for this experiment. Rich Norby reviewed an earlier version of this manuscript, and we have benefited from his insights and suggestions. We also gratefully acknowledge Dave Karnosky, George Hendry, John Nagy, Keith Lewin, and Judd Isebrands, who were instrumental in establishing and maintaining the Rhinelander FACE facility.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Bandeff, J. M., K. S. Pregitzer, W. M. Loya, W. E. Holmes, and D. R. Zak. 2006. Overstory community composition and elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> modify understory biomass production and nitrogen acquisition. *Plant and Soil* 282:251–259.
- Brooks, P. D., J. M. Stark, B. B. McInerter, and T. Preston. 1989. Diffusion method to prepare soil extracts for automated nitrogen-15 analysis. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 53:1707–1711.
- Cabrera, M. L., and M. H. Beare. 1993. Alkaline persulfate oxidation for determining total nitrogen in microbial biomass extracts. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 57:1007–1012.
- Ciais, P., P. P. Tans, M. Trolier, J. W. C. White, and R. J. Francey. 1995. A large northern hemisphere terrestrial CO<sub>2</sub> sink indicated by the <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Science* 269:1098–1102.
- Coleman, M. D., R. E. Dickson, J. G. Isebrands, and D. F. Karnosky. 1995*a*. Photosynthetic productivity of aspen clones varying in sensitivity to tropospheric ozone. *Tree Physiology* 15:585–592.
- Coleman, M. D., R. E. Dickson, J. G. Isebrands, and D. F. Karnosky. 1995*b*. Carbon allocation and partitioning in aspen clones varying in sensitivity to tropospheric ozone. *Tree Physiology* 15:593–604.
- Dickson, R. E., et al. 2000. Forest atmosphere carbon transfer storage-II (FACTS II)—the aspen free-air CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> enrichment (FACE) project: an overview. General Technical Report NC-214. USDA Forest Service North Central Experiment Station, Saint Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- Field, C. B. 1999. Diverse controls on carbon storage under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: toward a synthesis. Pages 373–391 in Y. Luo and H. A. Mooney, editors. *Carbon dioxide and environmental stress*. Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA.
- Finzi, A. C., D. J. P. Moore, E. H. DeLucia, J. Lichter, K. S. Hofmockel, R. B. Jackson, H.-S. Kim, R. Matamala, H. R. McCarthy, R. Oren, J. S. Phippen, and W. H. Schlesinger. 2006. Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem processes under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in a warm-temperate forest. *Ecology* 87: 15–25.
- Fowler, D., J. N. Cape, M. Coyle, C. Flechard, J. Kuylenstierna, K. Hicks, D. Derwent, C. Johnson, and D. Stevenson. 1999. The global exposure of forests to air pollutants. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* 116:5–32.
- Fowler, D., C. Flechard, U. Skiba, M. Coyle, and J. N. Cape. 1998. The atmospheric budget of oxidized nitrogen and its role in ozone formation and deposition. *New Phytologist* 139:11–23.
- Gill, R. A., H. W. Polley, H. B. Johnson, L. J. Anderson, H. Maherali, and R. B. Jackson. 2002. Nonlinear grassland responses to past and future atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature* 417: 279–282.
- Grantz, D. A., S. Gunn, and H.-B. Vu. 2006. O<sub>3</sub> impacts on plant development: a meta-analysis of root/shoot allocation and growth. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 29:1193–1209.
- Holmes, W. E., and D. R. Zak. 1999. Nitrogen dynamics following clear-cut harvest of northern hardwood ecosystems: microbial control over spatial patterns of N loss. *Ecological Applications* 9:202–215.

- Holmes, W. E., D. R. Zak, K. S. Pregitzer, and J. S. King. 2003. Soil nitrogen transformations under *Populus tremuloides*, *Betula papyrifera* and *Acer saccharum* following 3 years exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>. *Global Change Biology* 9: 1743–1750.
- Holmes, W. E., D. R. Zak, K. S. Pregitzer, and J. S. King. 2006. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> alter soil nitrogen transformations beneath trembling aspen, paper birch, and sugar maple. *Ecosystems* 9:1354–1363.
- Houghton, J. T., Y. Ding, D. J. Griggs, M. Noguer, P. J. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell, and C. A. Johnson, editors. 2001. *Climate change 2001: the scientific basis*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Hungate, B. A., D. W. Johnson, P. Dijkstra, G. Hymus, P. Stiling, J. P. Megonigal, A. L. Pagel, J. L. Moan, F. Day, J. Li, R. Hinkle, and B. G. Drake. 2006. Nitrogen cycling during seven years of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment in a scrub oak woodland. *Ecology* 87:26–40.
- Johnson, D. W., A. M. Holyman, J. T. Ball, and R. F. Walker. 2006. Ponderosa pine responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen fertilization. *Biogeochemistry* 77:157–175.
- Karnosky, D. F., K. S. Pregitzer, D. R. Zak, M. E. Kubiske, G. R. Hendrey, D. Weinstein, and K. E. Percy. 2005. Scaling ozone responses of forest trees to the ecosystem level. *Plant, Cell Environ.* 28:965–981.
- Karnosky, D. F., et al. 2003. Low levels of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> moderate responses of temperate hardwood forests to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: A synthesis of results from the Aspen FACE project. *Functional Ecology* 17:289–304.
- King, J. S., M. E. Kubiske, K. S. Pregitzer, G. R. Hendry, E. P. McDonald, C. P. Giardina, V. S. Quinn, and D. F. Karnosky. 2005. Tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> compromises net primary production in young stands of trembling aspen, paper birch and sugar maple in response to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *New Phytologist* 168:623–636.
- King, J. S., K. S. Pregitzer, D. R. Zak, D. F. Karnosky, I. G. Isebrands, R. E. Dickson, G. R. Hendrey, and J. Sober. 2001. Fine-root biomass and fluxes of soil carbon in young stands of paper birch and trembling aspen as affected by elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and tropospheric O<sub>3</sub>. *Oecologia* 128:237–250.
- Loya, W. M., K. S. Pregitzer, N. J. Karberg, J. S. King, and C. P. Giardina. 2003. Reduction of soil carbon formation by tropospheric ozone under increased carbon dioxide levels. *Nature* 425:705–707.
- Luo, Y., C. B. Field, and R. B. Jackson. 2006. Does nitrogen constrain carbon cycling, or does carbon input stimulate nitrogen cycling? *Ecology* 87:3–4.
- Luo, Y., et al. 2004. Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *BioScience* 54:731–739.
- Matamala, R., and W. H. Schlesinger. 2000. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on fine root production and activity in an intact temperate forest ecosystem. *Global Change Biology* 6: 967–979.
- Norby, R. J., and C. M. Iversen. 2006. Nitrogen uptake, distribution, turnover, and efficiency of use in a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched sweetgum forest. *Ecology* 87:5–14.
- Norby, R. J., J. Ledford, C. D. Reilly, M. E. Miller, and E. G. O'Neill. 2004. Fine-root production dominates response of a deciduous forest to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (USA)* 26:9689–9693.
- Pregitzer, K. S., D. R. Zak, J. Maziasz, J. DeForest, P. S. Curtis, and J. Lussenhop. 2000. Interactive effects of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and soil-N availability on fine roots of *Populus tremuloides*. *Ecological Applications* 10:18–33.
- Reich, P. B., D. F. Grigal, J. D. Aber, and S. T. Gower. 1997. Nitrogen mineralization and productivity in 50 hardwood and conifer stands on diverse soils. *Ecology* 78:335–347.
- Reich, P. B., S. E. Hobbie, T. Lee, D. Ellsworth, J. B. West, D. Tilman, J. M. H. Knops, S. Naeem, and J. Trost. 2006. Nitrogen limitation constrains sustainability of ecosystem response to CO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature* 440:922–925.
- Rothstein, D. E., D. R. Zak, K. S. Pregitzer, and P. S. Curtis. 2000. Kinetics of nitrogen uptake by *Populus tremuloides* in relation to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and soil nitrogen availability. *Tree Physiology* 20:265–270.
- Zak, D. R., W. E. Holmes, A. C. Finzi, R. J. Norby, and W. H. Schlesinger. 2003. Soil nitrogen cycling under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: a synthesis of forest FACE experiments. *Ecological Applications* 13:1508–1514.
- Zak, D. R., and K. S. Pregitzer. 1990. Spatial and temporal variability of nitrogen cycling in northern Lower Michigan. *Forest Science* 36:367–380.
- Zak, D. R., K. S. Pregitzer, P. S. Curtis, C. S. Vogel, W. E. Holmes, and J. Lussenhop. 2000. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, soil-N availability, and allocation of biomass and nitrogen by *Populus tremuloides*. *Ecological Applications* 10:34–46.